

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

D

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,985 09/05/2003		Guoming G. Zhu	46107-0091	8945
Davida A. Mi	7590 02/13/200	7	EXAM	INER
Douglas A. Mullen Dickinson Wright PLLC Suite 800 1901 L Street, N.W.			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Attachment to a signed Unice action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	<del></del>	nature, if required				
•	MICHAEL N PRIMARY EX	CAMINER				
		CHIEM				
	MICHAELN	1				
	Λ A Λ					
·		•				
	•					
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims						
that the secondary flag is conveyed to an external controller. Applicant will indicate support for the amendment in the specification.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The 101 issues were discussed</u> . Applicants proposed amending the claims to recite						
	·					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>2-9,11 and 12</u> .						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:						
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference						
Date of Interview: <u>08 February 2007</u> .						
(2) Michael Schaldenbrand.	(4)					
(1) <u>Michael P. Nghiem</u> . (3)						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
	Michael P. Nghiem	2863				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	<b>Application No.</b> 10/655,985	Applicant(s) ZHU ET AL.				